

## Math 281 – Qualifying Exam – Spring 2020

Define any symbol you use unless its meaning is clear from context. Name any result you use if it has a name. Be concise and clear. Justify all your answers.

**Problem 1.** Suppose that we observe data in pairs  $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ , where the data come from a linear model

$$Y = X^T \beta^* + \varepsilon,$$

where  $\beta^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the (unknown) vector of regression coefficients, and  $\varepsilon$  is the noise variable. Assume that  $\varepsilon$  and  $X$  are independent,  $\varepsilon$  is symmetric around 0 and  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon^2) = \sigma^2 > 0$ . Given  $\tau > 0$ , consider a loss function

$$\ell_\tau(x) = \tau^2 \ell(x/\tau), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\ell : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function chosen from the following three: (i)  $\ell(x) = \sqrt{1+x^2} - 1$ , (ii)  $\ell(x) = \log((e^x + e^{-x})/2)$ , and (iii)  $\ell(x) = (x^2/2 - |x|^3/6)1(|x| \leq 1) + (|x|/2 - 1/6)1(|x| > 1)$ . Given independent observations  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  from  $(X, Y)$ , let  $\hat{\beta}_n$  be an  $M$ -estimator of  $\beta^*$  that minimizes the empirical loss

$$L_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_\tau(Y_i - X_i^T \beta) = \frac{\tau^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell((Y_i - X_i^T \beta)/\tau).$$

That is,  $\hat{\beta}_n \in \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} L_n(\beta)$ . Assume in addition that  $\Sigma = \mathbb{E}(XX^T)$  is positive definite and  $\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^4 < \infty$ .

- (a) Let  $L(\beta) = \mathbb{E}L_n(\beta)$  be the population loss function. Describe conditions under which  $\beta^*$  is the unique minimizer of  $\beta \mapsto L(\beta)$ , that is,  $\beta^* \in \arg \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d} L(\beta)$ .
- (b) Under the conditions from part (a), provide a rigorous proof of the consistency of  $\hat{\beta}_n$ , that is,  $\hat{\beta}_n \rightarrow \beta^*$  in probability as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (while  $d$  is fixed). You can either pick a specific  $\ell$  function from the above three candidates, or provide a generic proof that applies to all three cases.
- (c) Provided the consistency of  $\hat{\beta}_n$  holds, describe the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\hat{\beta}_n$ . Provide a heuristic argument to justify your findings.

**Problem 2.** Assume the same conditions of Problem 2 hold, but consider a different loss function  $\ell_\tau(x) = (x^2/2)1(|x| \leq \tau) + (\tau|x| - \tau^2/2)1(|x| > \tau)$  for some  $\tau > 0$ . Given an iid sample  $\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ , consider the empirical risk minimization procedure

$$\hat{\beta}_n \in \arg \min_{\beta \in \Theta} \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_\tau(Y_i - X_i^\top \beta)}_{L_n(\beta)},$$

where  $\Theta$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The following result, known as the *Ledoux-Talagrand Rademacher contraction inequality*, may be useful for this question. Let  $\phi \circ \mathcal{F} = \{h : h(x) = \phi(f(x)), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$  denote the composition of  $\phi(\cdot)$  with functions in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\phi(\cdot)$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz, then  $R_n(\phi \circ \mathcal{F}) \leq LR_n(\mathcal{F})$ , where  $R_n$  denotes the Rademacher complexity.

- (a) Assume  $\Theta = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\beta\|_2 \leq R\}$  for some  $R > 0$ , and  $\mathbb{E}\|X\|_2^2 < \infty$ . Describe conditions under which

$$\sup_{\beta \in \Theta} |L_n(\beta) - L(\beta)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $L(\beta) := \mathbb{E}L_n(\beta)$  is the population loss.

- (b) Let  $\Theta = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\beta\|_2 \leq R\}$  for some  $R > 0$ , and let  $X$  be supported on an  $\ell_2$ -ball  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\|_2 \leq M\}$ . Give the smallest  $\epsilon_n(\delta, d, \tau, R, M)$  you can (ignoring the constants) such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |L_n(\beta) - L(\beta)| \geq \epsilon_n(\delta, d, \tau, R, M)\right\} \leq \delta.$$

- (c) Let  $\Theta = \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|\beta\|_1 \leq R\}$  for some  $R > 0$ , and let  $X$  be supported on an  $\ell_\infty$ -ball  $\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq d} |x_j| \leq M\}$ . Give the smallest  $\epsilon_n(\delta, d, \tau, R, M)$  you can (ignoring the constants) such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |L_n(\beta) - L(\beta)| \geq \epsilon_n(\delta, d, \tau, R, M)\right\} \leq \delta.$$

---

*Refer by number any result from the reference sheet that you use.*

**Problem 3.** Consider a setting where  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\theta, \sigma^2)$ . We consider the problem of estimating  $\theta$  under square error loss. The variance  $\sigma$  is assumed known except when otherwise specified.

- (a) Consider the average risk with respect to the  $\mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2)$  prior (where  $\tau$  is known). Derive the best estimator for that measure of risk and compute its risk.
- (b) Prove that the sample mean is minimax. Is the sample mean minimax if it is known that  $\theta \in [-1, 1]$ ? Is the sample mean minimax when  $\sigma$  is unknown? Is the sample mean minimax when  $\sigma$  is unknown but it is known that  $\sigma \in [1/10, 10]$ ?
- (c) (Assume again that  $\sigma^2$  is known.) Prove that  $\bar{X}$  is admissible. For what values of  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  is  $a\bar{X} + b$  admissible?