

**Mathematical Statistics (281ABC)**  
**Qualifying Exam, September 7, 2010**

**Problem 1** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. with density function

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1}, \quad 0 < x < 1, \theta > 0.$$

This is a sub-family of the Beta distribution. Let  $T = -\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)/n$ .

- (a) Verify that this is an exponential family, write down the natural parameter and the sufficient statistic; (5 points)
- (b) Use properties of the exponential family to show that  $E(T) = 1/\theta$  and  $\text{Var}(T) = 1/n\theta^2$ ; (10 points)
- (c) Compute the Fisher information for this problem; (10 points)
- (d) Deduce that  $T$  achieves the information inequality lower bound, and therefore is UMVU for  $1/\theta$ . (5 points)

**Problem 2** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. with density function

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} \exp(-x^\theta), \quad x > 0, \theta > 0.$$

This is a sub-family of the Weibull distribution.

- (a) Explain if it belongs to the location, scale, or exponential family. (5 points)
- (b) Show that there is a unique interior maximum of the likelihood function. (5 points)
- (c) Find the maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\theta}$  given the data. (5 points)
- (d) Estimate the variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ . (5 points)

**Problem 3** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is an i.i.d. sample from  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . We are interested in testing  $H : \sigma \leq \sigma_0$  versus  $K : \sigma > \sigma_0$ .

- (a) Fix  $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0$ . Write down the likelihood ratio for  $H$  versus  $K_1 : \sigma = \sigma_1$  and deduce that the test that rejects for large values of  $\sum_i (X_i - \xi_0)^2$  is most powerful. (10 points)
- (b) Give an explicit form for a UMP test for  $H$  versus  $K$  at level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . (10 points)
- (c) Is this the only UMP test? (5 points)

**Problem 4** Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_s$  are independent with  $X_i$  having the Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda_i$ . Consider testing  $H : \sum_i \lambda_i \leq a$  versus  $K : \sum_i \lambda_i > a$ , where  $a > 0$  is fixed.

- (a) Fix an alternative  $(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_s)$  with  $\sum_i \lambda'_i > a$ . Write down the likelihood ratio. (5 points)

- (b) For a given alternative  $(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_s)$ , consider the prior on  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$  where  $\lambda_i = a\lambda_i / \sum_j \lambda_j$ . Give a most power test for this simple versus simple situation. (5 points)
- (c) Show that the power of the test that rejects for large values of  $\sum_i X_i$  is monotone in  $\sum_i \lambda_i$ . (5 points)
- (d) Deduce a UMP test for  $H$  versus  $K$ . (5 points)

Problem 5  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid uniform  $[\alpha-1, \alpha+1]$  ( $\alpha > 1$ ).

Do an ARE comparison of  $\hat{\alpha}_1 = \bar{X}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}_2 = \text{med}\{X_i\}$ .

Are both limit-law and risk comparisons available as a basis for this ARE determination? If so pick either one for your analysis. What size  $n$  does an  $\hat{\alpha}_2$ -statistician need to match the performance of an  $\hat{\alpha}_1$ -statistician using  $n = 1$  million observations? Note with comment that neither estimator is optimal. What would happen if you compared  $\hat{\alpha}_3 = \hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$  and (bonus points)  $\hat{\alpha}_4 = e^{\bar{Y}}$  (where  $Y_i = \ln X_i$ ) against  $\hat{\alpha}_2$  in an asymptotic analysis.

Prove that at least one of these estimators is inadmissible.